Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 184-187

# Two Tetrahalooxomolybdate(V) Structures, [Ph<sub>3</sub>PNPPh<sub>3</sub>][MoOBr<sub>4</sub>] and [PPh<sub>4</sub>][MoOI<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)]

JAMES C. FETTINGER, SUNDEEP P. MATTAMANA AND RINALDO POLI

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA. E-mail: jf96@umail.umd.edu

(Received 17 October 1996; accepted 30 July 1997)

# Abstract

Both title compounds, bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium tetrabromooxomolybdate(V),  $(C_{36}H_{30}NP_2)[MoOBr_4]$ , and tetraphenylphosphonium aquatetraiodooxomolybdate(V),  $(C_{24}H_{20}P)[MoOI_4(H_2O)]$ , form by air oxidation of solutions containing the  $[Mo_3X_{12}]^{3-}$  anion, *i.e.* the bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium salt for X = Br and the tetraphenylphosphonium salt for X = I. Both anions have been reported previously in different salts. The geometry of the tetrabromooxomolybdate(V) anion is square pyramidal with the oxo ligand occupying the apical position, whereas the aquatetraiodooxomolybdate(V) anion can be described as a pseudo-octahedron with the aqua and oxo ligands occupying *trans* positions.

# Comment

The bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium tetrabromooxomolybdate(V) salt, (1), crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c with the central N atom of the [Ph<sub>3</sub>PNPPh<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> cation located on a twofold axis. The



 $[MoOBr_4]^-$  anion is composed of a half-occupancy central Mo atom, two Br atoms and a single halfoccupancy O atom located in close proximity to an inversion center. The inversion center generates two halfoccupancy  $[MoOBr_4]$  molecules. This molecule may be described as a central Mo atom with five terminal lig-



Fig. 1. The molecular configuration and labeling scheme for [Ph<sub>3</sub>PNPPh<sub>3</sub>][MoOBr<sub>4</sub>], indicating the ordered cation and the 50:50 disorder of the anion around the inversion center. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

ands, namely an oxo and four Br atoms, as shown in Fig. 1.

The tetraphenylphosphonium aquatetraiodooxomolybdate(V) salt, (2), crystallizes in the tetragonal space group P4/n with the cation occupying a 4 position. The anion is located on a fourfold axis with a major and a minor orientation in such a way that, as for the [MoOBr<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> anion, full-occupancy halogen atoms result from the overlapping of the two moieties. Two sets of Mo, O(oxo) and O(aqua) atoms are axially located on either side of the plane described by the four I atoms in a refined ratio of 0.71:0.29 (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2. The molecular configuration and labeling scheme for the disordered [PPh<sub>4</sub>][MoOI<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)] complex, showing the ordered cation and emphasizing the relative placement of the two orientations of the anion (major:minor = 0.71:0.29). Ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

The most interesting feature of each of these structures is the Mo—O(oxo) distance. In a recent contribution, where we reported the structure of base-free tetra-

. .

iodomolybdate(V) (Gordon et al., 1995) with an Mo-O distance of 1.61 (2) Å, we pointed out that the corresponding distance in a previously reported [MoOBr<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> salt, i.e. with the [PPh4]<sup>+</sup> counterion (Schumaker et al., 1982), was too long [1.73 (1) Å], a possible reason being compositional disorder with other unknown species. For compound (1), this distance is 1.636 (4) Å, in agreement with previous results. The corresponding tetrachlorooxomolybdate(V) also shows a shorter Mo-O(oxo) distance, e.g. 1.61(1) Å in the tetraphenylarsonium salt (Garner et al., 1977) and 1.646 (6) Å in the bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium salt (Blake et al., 1995); this latter compound and the tetrabromooxomolybdate(V) salt reported here are not isomorphous.

The Mo—O(oxo) distance in compound (2) is less reliable, given the partial overlap of electron densities between the oxo and aqua O atoms from the two disordered fragments (Fig. 2). In a previously reported structure containing the same anion,  $[(C_2H_5)_4N]$ -[MoOI<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)], such a distance was found to be 1.65 (1) Å (Bino & Cotton, 1979).

The average Mo-Br distance of 2.4802(6) Å in compound (1) compares well with the distance of 2.469(1) Å found for the same bond in the corresponding tetraphenylphosphonium salt (Schumaker et al., 1982). The average Mo-I distance of 2.735(3) Å in compound (2) compares well with the distance of 2.76 (1) Å found for the same bond in the corresponding tetraethylammonium salt (Bino & Cotton, 1979).

As has been discussed previously (Gordon et al., 1995), coordination of an axial ligand to the tetrahalooxomolybdate(V) moiety reduces the O(oxo)-Mo-X angle. Accordingly, the O(0x0)—Mo—I angle in compound (2)  $[97.75(6)^{\circ}$  for the major orientation] is significantly less than the average O-Mo-Br angle in compound (1) [102.9(6)°], and the trans-X—Mo—X bond angle is greater in compound (2)  $[164.49(12)^{\circ}]$ than in compound (1) [average  $154.17(5)^{\circ}$ ]. Similar values are found for the other base-free and base adducts of the same class (Gordon et al., 1995).

## Experimental

[Ph<sub>3</sub>PNPPh<sub>3</sub>]<sub>3</sub>[Mo<sub>3</sub>Br<sub>12</sub>] was prepared by dissolution of  $[Ph_3PNPPh_3][MoBr_4(thf)_2]$  (thf is tetrahydrofuran) in dichloromethane. Crystallization of this solution by cooling to 253 K afforded crystals of [Ph<sub>3</sub>PNPPh<sub>3</sub>][MoOBr<sub>4</sub>]. [PPh<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>- $[Mo_3I_{12}]$  was prepared as described previously (Gordon et al., 1995). Crystallization of a dilute dichloromethane solution by diffusion of a heptane layer afforded crystals of [PPh4]- $[MoOL_4(H_2O)].$ 

Compound (1)

Crystal data  $(C_{36}H_{30}NP_2)[MoOBr_4]$  $M_r = 970.13$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ 

Monoclinic
C2/c
a = 27.960(3) Å
b = 9.1643(8) Å
c = 18.488(2) Å
$\beta = 131.137(8)^{\circ}$
$V = 3567.8(6) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4
$D_x = 1.806 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

. . .

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scans (North, Phillips & Mathews, 1968)  $T_{\rm min} = 0.085, T_{\rm max} = 0.107$ 3211 measured reflections 3142 independent reflections

# Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.563 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.029$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.523 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.070$	Extinction correction:
S = 1.115	SHELXL93 (Sheldrick,
3142 reflections	1993)
274 parameters	Extinction coefficient:
All H atoms refined	0.00013 (6)
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0316P)^2]$	Scattering factors from
+ 8.5059 <i>P</i> ]	International Tables for
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	Crystallography (Vol. C)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$	

# Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

 $U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$ 

	х	у	z	$U_{eq}$
Mol†	0.27240 (3)	0.28171 (6)	0.02523 (4)	0.01996 (15)
Brl	0.23420(2)	0.26375 (5)	0.11388 (3)	0.03889 (14)
Br2	0.29523 (2)	0.00942 (4)	0.05741 (3)	0.03816(14)
01†	0.3426 (2)	0.3561 (5)	0.1034 (3)	0.0252 (10)
P1	0.50172 (4)	0.87067 (8)	0.33347 (6)	0.0146 (2)
NI	1/2	0.8224 (4)	1/4	0.0202 (8)
C11	0.44031 (14)	0.7738 (3)	0.3196(2)	0.0163 (6)
C12	0.38655 (15)	0.7294 (4)	0.2286(2)	0.0214 (7)
C13	0.3394 (2)	0.6520 (4)	0.2163 (3)	0.0248 (8)
C14	0.3457 (2)	0.6181 (4)	0.2948 (3)	0.0284 (8)
C15	0.3982 (2)	0.6620 (4)	0.3847 (3)	0.0304 (8)
C16	0.4457 (2)	0.7401 (4)	0.3983 (2)	0.0250 (8)
C21	0.49200 (14)	1.0628 (3)	0.3395 (2)	0.0169 (6)
C22	0.4365 (2)	1.1180(4)	0.3133 (2)	0.0232 (7)
C23	0.4295 (2)	1.2676 (4)	0.3148 (3)	0.0309 (8)
C24	0.4770(2)	1.3601 (4)	0.3420(3)	0.0313 (9)
C25	0.5328 (2)	1.3054 (4)	0.3682 (3)	0.0281 (8)
C26	0.5407 (2)	1.1567 (4)	0.3675 (2)	0.0217 (7)
C31	0.57546 (14)	0.8177 (3)	0.4480(2)	0.0166 (6)
C32	0.6061 (2)	0.9001 (4)	0.5312(2)	0.0237 (7)
C33	0.6617 (2)	0.8513 (4)	0.6167 (3)	0.0306 (8)
C34	0.6869 (2)	0.7202 (4)	0.6207 (3)	0.0313 (9)
C35	0.6576(2)	0.6368 (4)	0.5405 (3)	0.0292 (8)
C36	0.6017 (2)	0.6839 (4)	0.4535 (3)	0.0230 (7)

 $\dagger$  Site occupancy = 0.5.

Cell parameters from 25

 $0.55 \times 0.45 \times 0.45$  mm

2624 reflections with

3 standard reflections

frequency: 60 min

intensity decay: none

reflections  $\theta = 10.7 - 18.1^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 4.967 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 153(2) K

Brown-yellow

 $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.020$ 

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 33$ 

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 10$ 

 $l = -21 \rightarrow 16$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 24.98^{\circ}$ 

Block

C4 C5

C6

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (2)

Mo1—O1 Mo1—Br2 <sup>i</sup> Mo1—Br1	1.636 (4) 2.4026 (7) 2.4814 (7)	Mol—Brl <sup>i</sup> Mol—Br2	2.4896 (7) 2.5473 (7)		
O1Mo1Br2 <sup>i</sup> O1Mo1Br1 Br2 <sup>i</sup> Mo1Br1 O1Mo1Br1 <sup>i</sup> Br2 <sup>i</sup> Mo1Br1 <sup>i</sup>	102.4 (2) 103.4 (2) 88.41 (2) 102.2 (2) 89.08 (2)	Br1Mo1Br1 <sup>i</sup> O1Mo1Br2 Br2 <sup>i</sup> Mo1Br2 Br1Mo1Br2 Br1 <sup>i</sup> Mo1Br2	154.12 (3) 103.4 (2) 154.22 (3) 86.06 (2) 85.08 (2)		
Symmetry code: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -z$ .					

# Compound (2)

Crystal data	
$(C_{24}H_{20}P)[MoOI_4(H_2O)]$ $M_r = 976.93$ Tetragonal P4/n a = 13.258 (2) Å c = 7.8926 (15) Å $V = 1387.3 (4) Å^3$ Z = 2 $D_x = 2.339 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 9.3-18.4^{\circ}$ $\mu = 5.000 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 153 (2) K Block $0.325 \times 0.150 \times 0.125 \text{ mm}$ Dark brown

### Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	$R_{\rm int} = 0.040$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 24.96^{\circ}$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = -15 \rightarrow 0$
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 15$
by integration	$l = -9 \rightarrow 0$
$T_{\min} = 0.477, T_{\max} = 0.562$	3 standard reflections
1335 measured reflections	frequency: 60 min
1225 independent reflections	intensity decay: none
1031 reflections with	
$I > 2\sigma(I)$	

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.548 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.055$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.458 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.127$	Extinction correction:
S = 1.195	SHELXL93 (Sheldrick,
1225 reflections	1993)
77 parameters	Extinction coefficient:
H atoms not refined	0.0002 (3)
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0482P)^2]$	Scattering factors from
+ 17.3173 <i>P</i> ]	International Tables for
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	Crystallography (Vol. C)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$	

# Table 3. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

# $U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	Z	$U_{eq}$
11	0.08406 (5)	0.13377 (5)	0.68544 (9)	0.0290(3)
Molt	1/4	1/4	0.7318 (3)	0.0180 (5)
011†	1/4	1/4	0.960(2)	0.0180 (5)
012†	1/4	1/4	0.436(2)	0.0180 (5)
Mo2§	1/4	1/4	0.6061 (8)	0.0208 (12)
O21§	1/4	1/4	0.378 (3)	0.0208 (12)
O22§	1/4	1/4	0.900(3)	0.0208 (12)
P1	-1/4	1/4	1/2	0.0164 (10)
Cl	-0.1435 (7)	0.2447 (7)	0.3622 (12)	0.019 (2)
C2	-0.1413 (7)	0.1727 (8)	0.2332 (13)	0.025 (2)
C3	-0.0634 (9)	0.1706 (9)	0.1193 (14)	0.036(3)

0.0128	(8)	0.2418 (8)	0.1305 (15)	0.034 (3)
0.0109	(8)	0.3135 (7)	0.2570 (14)	0.028 (2)
-0.0668	(6) (	0.3150 (7)	0.3766 (13)	0.022 (2)

 $\dagger$  Site occupancy = 0.71. § Site occupancy = 0.29.

Table 4. Selecte	l geometric parameters	(Å.	°)	for	(2)	)

	0	4	( ) ) (-)
IIMol	2.7107 (8)	Mo1-012	2.33 (2)
11—Mo2	2.758 (2)	Mo2-O21	1.80 (3)
Mo1-011	1.80 (2)	Mo2-022	2.32 (3)
O11-Mo1-I1	97.75 (6)	O22-Mo2-11	76.88 (13)
O12 Mol II	82.25 (6)	II <sup>i</sup> —Mo2—II	153.8 (3)
11—Mo1—I1 <sup>i</sup>	164.49 (12)	11-Mo2-11 <sup>iii</sup>	87.04 (6)
II—Mol—II <sup>n</sup>	88.96 (2)	11 <sup>ii</sup> —Mo2—11 <sup>iii</sup>	153.8 (3)
O21-Mo2-I1	103.12 (13)		
Symmetry codes: (i) 1	_ r   _ v :	r(ii) > 1 - r = r	(;;;)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $\frac{1}{2} - x$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - y$ , z; (ii) y,  $\frac{1}{2} - x$ , z; (iii)  $\frac{1}{2} - y$ , z, z. Normal data collection procedures were used for both co

Normal data collection procedures were used for both compounds. For compound (1), refinement of the heavy-atom model with SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993) converged well and all H atoms were located directly from two subsequent difference Fourier maps and allowed to refine freely. The C-H distances were found to range from 0.78 (4) to 0.95 (4) Å (average 0.890 Å), while the  $U_{eq}$  values ranged from 0.012 (8) to 0.041 (11) Å<sup>2</sup> (average 0.028 Å<sup>2</sup>), clearly supporting refinement of these atoms. For compound (2), after the initial location of the Mo and I atoms, it soon became apparent that disorder was present because of the appearance of a relatively large peak,  $15 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ , approximately 1 Å from the initially found Mo atom on the opposite side of the L4 plane. The occupancies of these two atoms were initially refined resulting in an Mo1:Mo2 ratio of 0.71:0.29. Additional difference Fourier maps also revealed the presence of two large peaks, 9.2 and 7.9 e Å<sup>-3</sup>, which were input as O atoms for an oxo and an aqua species. Other peaks also appeared along the Mo1-Mo2 vector and eventually two sets of atoms were chosen such that the ensemble was fully occupied by both an oxo and an aqua species. The atoms of the (Mo1, O11, O12):(Mo2, O21, O22) ensemble had their overall occupancies optimized, resulting in a ratio of 0.709 (3):0.291 (3), nearly identical to the initial ratio. The occupancies were fixed at 0.71:0.29. Attempts were made to refine all of the anisotropic displacement parameters independently but the O atoms were uncooperative. Therefore, the final refinement included two EADP (Sheldrick, 1993) constraints, with all displacement parameters set identical, for the two sets of atoms, Mo1 = O11 = O12 and Mo2 = O21 =O22. A SAME (Sheldrick, 1993) restraint was also added to force the major and minor contributors to be nearly identical. Refinement of the structure converged smoothly. H atoms bonded to C atoms were placed in calculated positions; these were dependent on both the type of bonding at the C atom and the temperature (153 K in this case), with the aromatic H atoms calculated with C—H = 0.93 Å and U(H) set equal to 1.2U(parent). The two aqua H atoms were not calculated. A final difference Fourier map possessed three large peaks, 1.55, 1.05 and 1.03 e Å<sup>-3</sup>, within 1.2 Å of the I atom; the next two highest peaks, 0.95 and 0.86 e Å<sup>-3</sup>, were within 0.5 Å of Mo1, while the remainder of the map was featureless with  $|\Delta \rho| <$  $0.62 e Å^{-3}$ .

For both compounds, data collection: CAD-4 EXPRESS (Enraf-Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: CAD-4 EXPRESS; data reduction: XCAD-4 (Harms, 1997) and SHELXTL (Siemens, 1994); program(s) used to solve structures: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990); molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

We are grateful to the NSF (grant CHE-9058375) for support of this work

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: TA1139). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

### References

- Bino, A. & Cotton, F. A. (1979). Inorg. Chem. 18, 2710-2713.
- Blake, A. J., Parsons, S., Downs, A. J. & Limberg, C. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 571–573.
- Enraf-Nonius (1994). CAD-4 EXPRESS. Version 5.1/1.2. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Garner, C. D., Hill, L. H., Mabbs, F. E., McFadden, D. L. & McPhail, A. T. (1977). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 853-858.
- Gordon, J. C., Mattamana, S. P., Poli, R. & Fanwick, P. E. (1995). Polyhedron, 14, 1339–1342.
- Harms, K. (1997). XCAD-4. Program for the Reduction of CAD-4 Diffractometer Data. University of Marburg, Germany.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Schumaker, C., Weller, F. & Dehnicke, K. (1982). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 495, 135–147.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). SHELXTL. Version 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

### Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 187-189

# Aqua(2,3-dimethylpyridine-*N*)(*N*-salicylideneglycinato-*O*,*N*,*O*')copper(II)

## SALAM A. WARDA

Department of Chemistry, University of Marburg, Hans-Meerwein-Straße, 35032 Marburg, Germany. E-mail: warda@ax1501.chemie.uni-marburg.de

(Received 4 July 1997; accepted 6 October 1997)

### Abstract

In the title compound,  $[Cu(C_9H_7NO_3)(C_7H_9N)(H_2O)]$ , the Cu<sup>II</sup> centre has a square-pyramidal environment with a tridentate *N*-salicylideneglycinato Schiff base dianion and a 2,3-dimethylpyridine ligand coordinated in the basal plane. The apex of the pyramid is occupied by the O atom of the coordinated water molecule at a distance of 2.384 (3) Å. The monomers are associated through hydrogen bonds, forming infinite chains. The copper(II) polyhedra are arranged in a single magnetic orientation.

#### Comment

Copper(II) complexes with tridentate Schiff base dianions of the N-salicylideneamino-carboxylato type  $(TSB^{2-})$  represent relatively simple models for the study of cooperative bonding effects, which can be investigated by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy. In these complexes, which are of the general type  $[Cu(TSB)(L)]_n$  (Warda, 1994), three donor atoms (O, N and O) of the Schiff base and a fourth donor atom from the neutral ligand L (N, O or S) normally define the base of a square pyramid. For isolated (monomeric) structures, the copper coordination geometry can be square planar or square pyramidal when a neutral donor ligand is located at the apical site (n = 1) (Ueki et al., 1969). If a phenolic O atom from an adjacent molecule is apically coordinated, dimeric structures are formed (n = 2) (Warda, 1994). A tetrameric structure (n = 4)is found in the case of (4-ethylpyridine)(N-salicylideneglycinato)copper(II) (Warda, 1997a). Polymeric structures  $(n = \infty)$  are generally achieved when the apical position is occupied by a carboxylic O atom from an adjacent molecule, thus forming infinite zigzag chains (Ueki et al., 1967), or when a bidentate ligand connects the apical sites to form one-dimensional chains (Warda, 1994). In this communication, a complex, (I), with n =1, but with chain character arising from hydrogen bonding, is reported.



The Cu<sup>II</sup> ion has square-pyramidal [4+1] coordination geometry, with the tridentate Schiff base *N*-salicylideneglycinato dianion and the monodentate 2,3-dimethylpyridine ligand in the basal plane. The apical position is occupied by a water O atom at a distance of 2.384 (3) Å. The pyridine ring is inclined with respect to the basal plane (through O1, O2, N1 and N2) at an angle of  $70.0(1)^{\circ}$  and the Cu atom lies 0.155 (1) Å out of this plane, displaced towards the O4 atom (*PLATON*; Spek, 1997).

The monomers are associated through hydrogen bonding, forming chains via O4---H41...O3 interactions that are connected by O4---H42...O3 hydrogen bonds to a second, inverted chain. These chains run parallel to the crystallographic x axis (Fig. 2).

In the case of the polymeric structure of  $(3,5-dimethylpyridine)(N-salicylidenegylcinato)copper(II) reported recently (Warda, 1997b), the apical distance is 2.386 (2) Å to a carboxylic O atom of an adjacent molecule. In that structure, the copper(II) polyhedra are tilted with an angle <math>2\gamma$  of  $85^{\circ}$  ( $2\gamma$  is the angle describing the orientation of the main axes of the polyhedra with respect to one another and should therefore